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# Introduction

Cyber security is a relevant topic for all uses of digital technology, not only within IALA but everywhere around us. It cannot be considered as an add-on function, nor can it be handled separately from any work on digital systems; it should be incorporated in all technology, process, and human behaviour.

Because of the broad spectrum of cyber security, many industry standards and best practices are available to address technical vulnerabilities, provide guidance on processes and raise awareness of these issues across the IALA domains, including Maritime Services in the Context of e-Navigation.

There are, however, specifics within these domains that are not covered by existing standards or best practices. This document aims to provide guidance by referencing existing standards, best practices and other guidance on the IALA-specific topics that are not addressed by readily available standards and best practices.

# Purpose and scope of this document

This document offers guidance on possible measures to be taken to mitigate against cyber security risks in the IALA domain. It is not meant as a replacement of existing guidance and standards as summarised in IALA Recommendation R1024: *Cyber security for the IALA domain*. Instead, this guideline assumes the standards and best practices as referenced in the recommendation to be a generic basis to build upon, specifically for those assets and systems found in the IALA domain. Some parts of existing standards and best practices have been included though, if they are particularly important for the IALA domain, or to provide necessary context.

## Scope

This guideline provides measures to mitigate cyber threats on assets and systems specific to the IALA domain and their specific properties. It is aimed at existing technology which may include legacy systems.

Technology that has not yet been developed or approved for use is not within the scope of this guideline. Authors of guidance for new technologies are encouraged to include cyber resilience and mitigating measures against cyber risks in both the new technology itself and the respective documentation and guidelines.

Some chapters include a paragraph on potential gaps, which require extra attention apart from the measures, or where no feasible measures are available.

## Intended audience

This guideline is written for everyone in organisations that work with technology within the IALA domain, as cyber security should be embedded in everyone’s day-to-day work. Some chapters do contain specifics for certain technology though, that may not be applicable for all readers.

The document is not meant to read and applied as a whole, but rather as a reference with collected guidance on the different aspects of Marine Aids to Navigation including VTS and Maritime Services in the context of e‑Navigation.

# Best practices

Aside from the specific guidance that this guideline offers, there are some general best practices that every organisation should practice as a basis for cyber security and resilience against cyber incidents.

## Risk assessment

Every asset, whether a single AtoN or a collection of systems compromising a VTS, needs to be assessed on the risk of cyber incidents, to be able to determine appropriate and proportional measures to be taken. Risk is the chance of a threat causing damage to the asset. This can be accidental or deliberate, physical or digital and temporary or permanent. The impact of the caused damage, together with the chance, determines if the risk is acceptable or not, and thus if (additional) measures need to be taken.

Degradation of useability or effectiveness of an asset may impact the availability of an AtoN.

Note that financial aspects may influence an acceptable risk level.

Sometimes risk may be avoided, for example by choosing a different (type) of component in an asset at the time it is acquired or bought.

The simplified risk assessment steps in the illustration below may be used as a basis for risk assessment of assets. This guideline aims to offer possible mitigating measures as part of this process.



1. Basic risk assessment process flow

A risk assessment should be repeated regularly to address the changes in the risk landscape, even if the asset itself is unchanged.

Note that cyber security can require significant resources, both in human effort and costs. This should be proportional and supported by a thorough risk assessment that compliments corporate risk management.

## Incident response and recovery planning

Almost every organisation will be hit by a cyber incident at least once. For that reason, it is good to have incident response plans in place and practice these. Following risk assessments, organisations should be aware of the (residual) risk and possible gaps. Incident response plans should address these.

An incident response plan should at least:

* List prioritised actions to perform (or not to perform) immediately following a cyber incident,
* Contain a list of responsible persons and entities and their contact details,
* Describe the process to follow, which in many cases will be a crisis management plan,
* Be practiced and reviewed regularly.

While incident response focusses on damage control, incident recovery focusses on the process of returning to normal operation. Incident recovery is often referred to as *disaster recovery*.

An incident recovery plan should at least:

* Include check lists to complete before starting recovery,
* List prioritised actions for restoration of systems and services,
* Follow a “plan-do-check-act” methodology to ensure correct restoration,
* Include a verified list of required resources, like installation media and backups.

Incident response and recovery plans may include sensitive information and should be considered confidential documents. Despite this, it is good practice to have a physical copy available, as computer systems may not be available or safe to use during a cyber incident.

Incident response and recovery are part of most available cyber security standards and best practices and specialised training is available if desired.

## Business Continuity management

While incident response and recovery address a cyber incident, Business Continuity Management (BCM) addresses operational processes during a period where normal operation is not possible, due to a variety of events, including cyber incidents. It may be combined with disaster recovery in a broader sense, as e.g. flooding may also be considered a disaster. It is important to note the difference in focus on either the origin or the operational process.

The process of Business Continuity Management should follow the following steps:

1. Identify (mission) critical processes in the organisation.
2. Identify systems and processes supporting the (mission) critical processes.
3. Identify means of working around supporting systems and processes in case they are temporarily or permanently unavailable. This may also include alternative ways of working. It is recommended to do this for multiple timelines as work-arounds may only be acceptable for a limited period.
4. Identify required assets for work-arounds and alternative ways of working and make sure these are available and working.
5. Practice, improve and review.

Note that it is not necessary to work with incident/disaster scenarios. Assuming unavailability of a system or process will often catch any possible scenario.

BCM is part of most available cyber security standards and best practices.

Many organisations already have some kind of business continuity plan that is related to crisis management. It is suggested that existing plans are updates to include cyber security.

## Cyber security awareness

Research from many different organisations report that over 80% of data breaches have a human element, with the majority of cyber incidents starting with phishing, either via communication media like e-mail/chat or physically. This may be summarised as “social engineering”. It confirms that humans are the weakest link in cyber security and this requires specific action.

There are many companies offering commercial training and testing of employees’ ability to recognise phishing and preach “cyber hygiene”. Offering for systems and procedures used in the maritime domain is limited though. It is suggested that IALA members develop their own awareness campaigns, tailored for their organisations, or work with a commercial provider of awareness training offering tailored solutions.

Another factor of human element in cyber security is deliberate sabotage by employees, either because they are unhappy with their employer, or they are blackmailed/offered money by criminals to perform malicious actions. Awareness of these risks is important. An organisation’s Human Resources department may be able to assist in mitigating against these risks.

There are also technical solutions that help to mitigate risk from human error and malicious action, e.g. procedures that require multiple persons to always be involved or CCTV monitoring.

## Information sources and sharing

Most governments have a cyber security department that shares news on cyber security topics, in particular newly discovered vulnerabilities that are published, along with recommended action if the vulnerability applies to you. Also many vendors of systems offer cyber-related information for their products. It is good to selectively subscribe to newsletters that offer reliable information on such topics, so to be aware of trends and any new threads.

Information sharing between trusted parties helps organisations to prevent cyber-attacks at an early stage. To get early information on recent or emerging threats with the relevant indicators of compromise (IOCs) and tactics, techniques, and procedure (TTPs) help organisations in early discovery of cyber-attacks and feeds an intrusion detection system (IDS) if present.

Furthermore, information sharing between trusted parties for example about; recent experiences with cyber-attacks, discovered vulnerabilities and/or mitigation strategies helps others to thwart attacks at an early stage.

This sharing of information is commonly facilitated by Information Sharing and Analysis Centres (ISACs). ISACs are non-profit organisations or cooperatives that provide a central resource for gathering information on cyber threats (in many cases to critical infrastructure) as well as allow two-way sharing of information between the private and the public sector.

IALA members are encouraged to participate in ISACs relevant for their region and/or sector. A national/governmental cyber security department can often inform about the availability of such ISACs.

# Considerations for ATON

An Aid to Navigation (AtoN) is a device, system or service, external to vessels, designed and operated to enhance safe and efficient navigation of individual vessels and/or vessel traffic. Some types of AtoNs are:

* Physical AtoN:
  + Visual AtoN: Lights, buoys, beacons, etc
  + Audible AtoN: Bells, horns, Mariner Radio Activated Sound Signals (MRASS), etc
  + Radar reflectors
* Electronic (Automatic Identification System (AIS)) AtoN, radar responder (RACON) and radar target enhancer) and in the future the VDES (VHF Data Exchange System) and supporting systems, e.g. PNT
* Vessel Traffic Service (VTS)

VTS and Position Navigation and Timing (PNT) are discussed in separate chapters.

An AIS AtoN can be implemented in three ways, physical, synthetic, and virtual. A physical AIS AtoN Station is an AIS station located on an AtoN that physically exists. A synthetic AIS AtoN is a AtoN that physically exists but the location is transmitted from another AIS station located remotely, but in the close proximity of the physical AtoN. A virtual AIS AtoN broadcast is transmitted from an AIS station for an AtoN that does not physically exist. This virtual AIS AtoN is projected on an AIS overlay on the Radar, ECDIS and ECS charts but has no physical presence.

AIS AtoN are, in essence, radio messages transmitted via computer programmable radios, which augment a buoy, a beacon, or any other type of AtoN or provide independent data of navigational significance. AIS uses GNSS for position and time information, therefore it shares a GNSS vulnerability with other receivers. AIS AtoN can be used to share data from mounted sensors, such as hydrographic and other data. and Maritime Safety Information.

Administrations/waterway authorities must ensure the integrity of their signals, and provide mariners a way to verify the authenticity of the signals. This chapter focusses on AtoN and the means to ensure that valid messages, whether AIS or visual/audible/radio, are transmitted. It also discusses AtoN information management systems used for program management by AtoN administrations.

The historical development of AtoN monitoring began with human observation, moved to a connected but closed solution, and now expanded to a convergence on IT, OT technology & IoT enabling with satellite monitoring almost from anywhere in the world. The use of these technologies has been progressed by AtoN operator and/or manager’s desire for remote and reliable monitoring, reduced preventative maintenance and aid availability targets[[1]](#footnote-1).

## Protection of ATON

AtoN are usually in publicly accessible areas, although often at sea or inland waterways where a vessel would be required to reach them. Physical security is an important component of cyber security. Administrations which provide physical, virtual and synthetic AIS AtoN signals may do so from network/internet connected AIS stations, necessitating network security in addition to physical security. Many lanterns use RF programming and can be accessed using a universal TV remote. More modern lanterns may use Bluetooth or WiFi technology providing another access point and potential remote connectivity.

Remotely monitored and programmable AtoN have both positive and negative implications with respect to cyber security. In one respect, remotely programmable AtoN allow additional access points and potential means for a cyber-attack. Conversely, remotely monitored AtoN offer administrations a method by which to more quickly identify an AtoN which is not operating as required and/or may have been subject to unauthorized modification by a nefarious actor.

The following measures should be taken into consideration:

1. Use locks on cabinets and casing where electronics or management interfaces are present. If possible use a sensor to be able to detect access to the cabinet/casing;
2. Modern AtoN may include digital systems that resemble (or are) a computer system, in which case best practices for hardening and protection of computer systems should be implemented;
3. Implement monitoring/detection of unusual behavior, including GNSS and physical properties. Note that monitoring and detection is not by definition a technical/automated system but may also be performed by means of human inspection;
4. If the AtoN uses GNSS for positioning and/or time synchronisation, apply measures to mitigate against jamming and spoofing of GNSS signals. See the chapter “Considerations for PNT”;
5. Use risk mitigation planning to make an informed decision when using remotely monitored and programmable AtoN equipment as it may become more susceptible to cyber-attacks. When remotely programmable AtoN equipment is used, provide authorized user identification and access protection measures
6. Whenever feasible, AtoN systems used to conduct maintenance, and transmit MSI related to AtoN should use cyber-secure electronics
7. Administrations should encourage public reporting of perceived electronic signal spoofing, jamming, or operation/behavior other than the advertised signal. This may include when the position of a physical buoy is significantly different than the position of its synthetic AIS AtoN signal, if so equipped.
8. Implement software/firmware updates and (security) patches on AtoN, but only after thorough acceptance testing;
9. Conduct periodic penetration tests and/or vulnerability scans on (representative) AtoN to validate cyber resilience.

## Maintenance procedures

Periodic maintenance should be performed on every AtoN, both physical and electronic. The following suggestions will contribute to improved and consistent cyber resilience of AtoN when applied in maintenance procedures:

1. Create and enforce a policy for physical key management for proper authorisation and logging;
2. Inventory and understand the means by which to program or modify the AtoN equipment (e.g. LED lantern) providing the signal. Where feasible, consider use of methods such as password/pin or other means by which make unauthorized modification of the signal more difficult. If technically feasible, setup user accounts with minimum required permissions and/or use a central authentication database, like Radius or LDAP;
3. Where possible, create standardised maintenance instructions and templates including verification of measures to protect AtoN against cyber risks. Having a second maintenance engineer check the work after maintenance provides additional verification and protect against possibly compromised personnel ("4-eyes principle"). An extra verification may partly be performed remote if technically feasible;
4. Ensure the cyber security of maintenance tools like engineering laptops and verify that no unnecessary data is stored on the device.
5. Verify the integrity of maintenance instructions to ensure that maintenance is conducted following the requirements;
6. Documentation of AtoN information and configuration should continuously be verified to be accurate and up-to-date;
7. Ensure that backups of firmware, software and configuration are periodically made and that their integrity is verified, i.e. that backups can successfully be restored. Create new backups immediately after every change in software, firmware or configuration;
8. Ensure proper protection, with regards to Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability (“CIA”) of backup information and documentation. Standard IT best practices will in most cases be appropriate.

## Communication with ATON

Communication with AtoN may be local, for programming and maintenance, or remote, usually via AtoN management systems. AtoN may also be used to transmit data, such as AIS AtoN messages and/or VHF voice broadcasts.

Where IP-based communication is used, appropriate protection of the transmitted data should be implemented. In many cases the SECOM (IEC 63173-2) standard provides guidance. Where it does not, standard internet-based encryption and authentication technology may be applied.

The following additional measures may be considered:

1. Protect wireless management interfaces (Wireless LAN, RF, Bluetooth, Infrared) by disabling them while not in use;
2. If the (wireless) technology allows, apply (user) authentication and modern encryption for access to the AtoN. Ensure that factory-set default passwords are changed and that passwords are rotated periodically;
3. If an AtoN is remotely managed and monitored, apply authentication and encryption on the entire communication link to ensure integrity of the data transmitted. This may require an extra “layer” of security, i.e. the link from an AtoN management system to a satellite system may be sufficiently secured, but the actual downlink to the AtoN may not be.

## ATON INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Aside from the physical AtoN itself, AtoN positioning systems may be connected to networks and internet and may double as OT/IT. AtoN Administrations manage massive amounts of critical data related to the status and maintenance of the AtoN. In many cases this data represents legal records related to the actions by the administration to provide and maintain the AtoN which may be called upon in the event or marine incident which may have involved AtoN. These systems and data are at risk from a variety of threat vectors including adversarial attack, system failure, data loss or corruption, and simple human error.

Recommended measures include:

1. Identify critical data and systems, networking connections, and access points. Consider forming a written IT cyber security policy for risk mitigation which incorporates data back-up, continuity of operations planning, and restoration management;
2. Identify what data requires additional control measures such as Personal Identifiable Information (PII);
3. Implement and maintain strict authorized user identification and access protection;
4. Where feasible use methods such as encryption, data segregation (e.g. by operational region) and or user role permission to protect data;
5. Limit the number of IT / OT network connections and data access points to only those necessary for routine and contingency operations;
6. Whenever possible, leverage data-management systems and programs which are user friendly and incorporate functions such as graphical displays that help prevent human error and allow users to recognize and correct errant or missing data.
7. Where possible, collect and save log files of all (sensor) information from AtoN for at least 180 days to enable (forensic) inspection following abnormal behavior and cyber incidents.
8. If a communication link is established to provide automatic updates to hydrographic systems/organisations, apply appropriate preventative measures and monitoring to ensure the integrity of the data;
9. Conduct periodic penetration tests and/or vulnerability scans on AtoN management systems to validate cyber resilience.

## ATON-specific documents to consider

## Potential gaps

# Considerations for Maritime services in the context of e-navigation

## IP/WEB based

Maritime services in the context of e-Navigation are often based on IP and the application of web services. In those cases, the SECOM (IEC 63173-2) standard should be applied and additional measures from IT and/OT standards, like best practices for security monitoring, should be considered.

## Non IP/WEB based

Where no IP/Web based technology is used, especially in MSI radio systems like VHF, AIS, VDES and NAVTEX, the following suggestions may aid in the availability and integrity of the information. Note that many of these systems are meant to be public/broadcast and have a role in Maritime Safety Information (MSI). Although information transmitted may be confidential, encryption is not used, so to allow all receiving parties to use the information.

1. While there are initiatives to implement authentication of messages in various MSI systems, there is currently no standardised solution. Instead, it is suggested to implement multiple different systems that may provide similar information, to be able to compare the information provided. If in doubt, human verification, for instance via VHF, may assist.
2. Monitor for unusual messages; commercial systems are available to monitor for spoofing and jamming, especially in AIS.
3. Have monitoring systems available for triangulation of invalid radio transmissions.

## MS-specific documents to consider

* IALA R1019: PROVISION OF MARITIME SERVICES IN THE CONTEXT OF E-NAVIGATION IN THE DOMAIN OF IALA **(recommendation)**
  + Covers general aspects of digitalisation: Resilience, security, identity and authentication by design
  + Availability, Integrity, Confidentiality
* IALA G1157: WEB SERVICE BASED S-100 DATA EXCHANGE **(technical guideline)**
  + Guidance on implementing MS with S-100 data
  + Recommends to use IP and TLS in combination with PKI
  + Local certificate store -> offline PKI
  + Sign data (+timestamp to avoid replay attacks)
* IEC 63173-2: Maritime navigation and radio communication equipment and systems – Data interface – Part 2: Secure exchange and communication of S-100 based products (SECOM) **(technical guideline)**
  + Standardises Interfaces used for S-100 online data exchange.
  + Provides some general guidance on how to utilize Identity Management and Service Discovery on the technical level
* IALA G1161: GUIDELINE ON THE EVALUATION OF PLATFORMS FOR THE PROVISION OF MARITIME SERVICES IN THE CONTEXT OF E-NAVIGATION **(generic guideline)**
  + Provides a framework to evaluate technologies/platforms for MS
  + Covers basic aspects of Cyber Security: Authentication, Authorization, Robustness, Efficiency, Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability, Non-repudiation
* (Technical Design Specification(s) for Maritime Services currently being drafted using SECOM)
* Maritime Connectivity Platform (MCP) as a framework for secure maritime data exchange:
  + Documentation on MCP PKI
  + Identity Management
  + Usage of MCP-MRNs
  + MMS as a secure low-bandwidth messaging service

## Potential Gaps

* Measures to provide authentication in existing systems like AIS and VDES are still in development.
* IALA GXXXX: Guideline on VDES VDL integrity monitoring (in development)

# Considerations for VTS

In VTS, cyber security risks focus on three main areas, namely the sensors, the VTS Core (presentation/processing) systems and the communication systems, all with their unique risks and potential mitigating measures.

Availability and reliability of the systems usually has the highest priority and cyber security measures may have an adverse effect on these. On the other hand, not taking measures against cyber risks may impact availability and reliability. Therefore, a good balance between security and reliability is required. A risk assessment is a good approach to find this balance.

With the intended increased provision of VTS Digital Services (S-210, S-212 ...), to some extent replacing provision via voice based communication, data integrity become a vital focus point against e.g. Liability issues. Furthermore all exchanged and provisioned data (services) need to be fully traceable, i.e. logged/archived as a function provisioned by the VTS System, beside voice and sensor data as should be in place already; the integrity and secure storage hereof will be essential within a VTS centre.

Besides the systems for VTS there are often administrative systems used. These are usually qualified as “standard” office systems and application and handled as appropriate in terms of cyber security.

## General guidance for VTS

Although mostly part of many existing standards, it is emphasised that attention is paid to the following topics when establishing or working on VTS systems:

1. **Documentation and procedures** are important to ensure consistent implementation and maintenance, in particular security procedures, especially as VTS systems may be spread over a large geographical area and/or difficult to visit.
2. Pay attention to **network segmentation[[2]](#footnote-2)** to lower the risk of an attacker reaching a VTS system when an office system is compromised. This also counts for networks within the VTS system itself; i.e. VHF systems should be separated from radar systems
3. Well established **maintenance procedures** contribute to a cyber secure system, especially well tested and timely applied security patches
4. **Remote access** should be minimised to absolutely necessary access by employees and/or third parties. Authentication and authorisation of users and technical monitoring/logging of all remote activity should be implemented and validated. Also time restriction in remote access should always be considered.
5. **Interfaces[[3]](#footnote-3)** with systems outside the VTS networks, for example for monitoring and predictive maintenance, should only be implemented after a risk assessment.
6. VTS personnel, both operational and technical should continuously be **educated** on cyber security awareness and detection of malicious activity within the VTS systems
7. Consider cyber security when establishing or renewing a VTS system, including risks introduced by using third party services, including private or public cloud services.
8. When implementing technical measures, consider the relation between cyber security and (physical) **safety**, including safety of navigation. These may complement each other, but one may also have a negative influence on the other.
9. A **Business Continuity Management plan** for VTS should be implemented to react to and recover from a cyber attack by management, operational and technical means in a structured way.

Note that this general guidance does not replace the established standards and these should also be followed to implement other measures, such as anti-malware and password/identity management, for example.

## Sensors

When referring to sensors in the context of VTS, we mean the systems collecting data to enable VTS operators to have situational awareness. Examples are radars, CCTV cameras, hydrographic sensors, meteorologic sensors and AIS listeners/base stations. Their common attribute is that they are usually placed outside the actual VTS centres, often in publicly accessible areas. This makes them vulnerable to physical influences, both deliberate (vandalism, break-in, manipulation, disruption) and accidental (weather, natural disasters). Also the communication links are more vulnerable, whether these are (buried) cables or wireless connections.

The following measures for improving resilience against deliberate and accidental cyber risks may be considered:

1. Part of the cyber security for sensors will be formed by physical security. Consider qualitative locks, thorough building, fences, security cameras, door/window alarms, smoke detectors, leakage detectors, climate control and emergency power systems. Many of these will already be in place for availability purposes and addressing accidental influences. Additional measures may be needed to detect and prevent deliberate access attempts and the consequential cyber risks of that.
2. Related to physical security, make sure authorisation for entering the site is controlled. Who has the keys? Is it a shared location?
3. Implement monitoring/detection tools that not only monitor availability[[4]](#footnote-4) but also data integrity[[5]](#footnote-5). Think of ways to validate that received data is valid and authentic. This may be caused by accident or deliberately via radio communication or using communication links, via the network. .
4. Turn off unnecessary features of systems. A hydrographic sensor may be programmable by Bluetooth. Make sure the Bluetooth is disabled when not programming the sensor and make sure it is documented so it is repeatable for the next sensor. Also, any unused (network) interfaces should be disabled at unmanned locations.
5. Apply encryption on communication links, whether they are wired (copper/fiber), wireless (beam/laser/LTE/Satcom), public (Internet/site2site service), or private (own cables) to make sure eavesdropping and manipulation of the data is prevented as much as possible.
6. Apply authentication where possible to be able to validate that data is actually originating from the device or system that it identifies itself as.
7. Take measures to assure that if one sensor is compromised, this will not imply that all sensors may be compromised, e.g. as a result of identical passwords or other configuration.
8. For business continuity reasons, apply redundancy. A single (or even 2 or 3) compromised sensors should not lead to VTS operators being unable to perform their tasks.
9. Create procedures for fast restoration and/or replacement of sensors.

## Core VTS systems

The Core VTS systems, including the presentation systems the VTS operators work with are usually placed in a VTS centre. Some (back-end and processing) systems may be placed in an (internal) data centre. They collect and process the data from the sensors and present them to the VTS operator to create situational awareness. In most cases these systems are more or less standard IT systems, like computer workstations and servers and security measures for computer systems may be applied as is done for office computers.

There are, however, unique properties for VTS Core systems that require an approach different than for standard IT systems;

1. VTS Core systems may not require user authentication – VTS operators man these systems 24/7 and a locked or logged-out system prevents them from having a continuous overview of the traffic situation
2. As VTS workstations are often used 24/7 there may not be maintenance windows to install updates and patches
3. Because of the availability and reliability requirements, system administrators may be hesitant to install updates and patches as they may cause instability or unexpected behaviour.

The following measures for improving resilience, considering VTS Core system’s unique properties may be considered:

1. Physical security may partly make up for lower cyber security. Implement proper access procedures for the VTS centre and -rooms and if possible, put the actual systems in a locked cabinet.
2. Implement user authentication with a suitable policy. While VTS workstations should not be locked or logged out, there may also be unused/spare workstations, and they should not be freely accessible. An automatic locking mechanism may be suitable if set to several hours of inactivity timeout.
3. Apply monitoring mechanisms, other than a user login, to validate user actions. Maybe security cameras may be suitable or other biometric identification is possible. These will not prevent deliberate manipulation but will enable alerting and forensics.
4. Implement social control – make sure no-one is ever alone in a VTS centre or associated data centre, if the situation permits. Also take measures to validate the integrity of personnel
5. Disable all functionality on VTS systems that is not needed for the VTS operation process. Users should not be able to start any unnecessary applications or start an internet browser. Especially all USB devices, other than Human Interface Devices, should not work.
6. Limit network access to the minimum necessary; VTS Core systems should not have any internet access and be logically or physically separated from office systems. Make sure both inbound and outbound network traffic is blocked.
7. Create procedures for fast restoration and/or replacement of VTS Core systems, or have cold spares available. Hot spares are often good for availability but may be hit by cyber attacks. Cold spares will not be hit.
8. Awareness should be created amongst VTS personnel to recognise and respond appropriately to cyber incidents including (potential) integrity degradation of VTS information, including traffic image, data communication and voice communication. Training of VTS operators and maintenance staff is recommended.

## Communication

VTS communication systems include VHF communication, AIS messaging and, depending on the specific VTS centre, telephone, and other communication means, even AtoN like lights.

All communication with ships is wireless and thus vulnerable to deliberate and incidental disruption and often eavesdropping or manipulation (spoofing). Communication systems, especially VHF/AIS systems, may be physically placed outside an actual VTS centre and therefore share the same vulnerabilities as sensors.

Most measures in communication focus on business continuity as reliability can often not be improved with the communication systems, apart from choosing alternative technology, but that will, in most cases, not be an option in the maritime industry.

The following measures are available for mitigating the cyber risks in VTS communication systems:

1. Deploy methods for detection and localisation of disruptive signals or contract a competent third party to do so. Usually, a technique like triangulation is suitable. This will assist in quickly mitigating disruption and malicious transmissions.
2. Implement technical measures or procedures to disable any disruptive sources of radio signals. For instance, AIS/NAVTEXT may be disabled in case of disruption or spoofing, if also radar is available. VTS operators must be able to perform their duties with only radar information.
3. Depending on the communication method, special radio hardware (antennas) may be available that are less sensitive for disruption from directions other that where ships may be expected to be.
4. Have alternative communication methods available. Telephone or megaphone may replace VHF in emergency situations and notices to mariners may be sent out to mention a phone number or to inform that the VTS will not communicate verbally at all.

## VTS-specific documents

* IALA G1111 is a common source of information to assist competent authorities and VTS providers in the preparation and establishment of functional and performance requirements for VTS systems. Cyber Security requirements should be considered in this process
* SECOM (IEC 63173-2) specifies technology measures for Secure communication between ship and shore. The implementation of an identity registry and PKI may be provided by the Maritime Connectivity Platform (MCP)

## Potential gaps

* Specific training may be required for VTS operators, supervisors and managers, and training should be periodically repeated to keep awareness on the desired level and stay aligned with current cyber threats. Training should address:
  + Prevention of cyber incidents
  + Recognition of cyber incidents and anomalies
  + How to respond to cyber incidents, both technically and operationally, including what *not* to do

# Considerations for PNT

Today’s vessels and many marine aids to navigation (AtoN) rely on electronic position, navigation, and timing (PNT) information which is predominantly derived from Global and Regional Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS/RNSS). However, several studies indicate that GNSS signals are vulnerable to intentional and unintentional interference and common failure modes [1], [2]. RNSS which are based on similar technology as GNSS are facing the same vulnerabilities. However, to improve the readability of this guideline, GNSS and RNSS are subsumed under the designation GNSS, since it is not relevant for the considerations here whether they are worldwide or only regionally receivable.

International Maritime Organisation’s (IMO) e-Navigation strategy recognizes the importance of resilience of electronic systems and mentions especially position fixing systems. The IMO’s e-Navigation strategy states [3]:

“e-Navigation systems should be resilient and take into account issues of data validity, plausibility and integrity for the system to be robust, reliable and dependable. Requirements for redundancy, particularly in relation to position fixing systems, should be considered.”

The increasing reliance on GNSS in all types of position finding and navigation, including position and time inputs to Automatic Identification System (AIS), underlines the importance of an objective consideration of possible areas of vulnerability and a consideration of measures to reduce or mitigate such effects. The growth of autonomy and introduction of autonomous vessels further highlights the importance of resilient PNT information.

The general responsibilities of Maritime Authorities related to the provision of PNT services may be derived from the Chapter V of IMO Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) convention which states:

"Regulation 13 - Establishment and operation of aids to navigation

1 Each Contracting Government undertakes to provide, as it deems practical and necessary either individually or in co-operation with other Contracting Governments, such aids to navigation as the volume of traffic justifies and the degree of risk requires.

2 In order to obtain the greatest possible uniformity in aids to navigation, Contracting Governments undertake to take into account the international recommendations and guidelinesfootnote when establishing such aids.

3 Contracting Governments undertake to arrange for information relating to aids to navigation to be made available to all concerned. Changes in the transmissions of position-fixing systems which could adversely affect the performance of receivers fitted in ships shall be avoided as far as possible and only be effected after timely and adequate notice has been promulgated.

Footnote: Refer to the appropriate recommendations and guidelines of IALA and to SN/Circ.107 - Maritime buoyage system."

PNT information is subject to natural and deliberate interference (jamming) and data manipulation (spoofing). GNSS is vulnerable as the different satellite constellations broadcast on a similar frequency, so are likely to be effected by the same interference source.

Available measures to mitigate against PNT spoofing and jamming are:

1. Awareness of the potential impact is important, without considering what any data manipulation or denial may look like, it will be difficult to understand if such an event is occurring.
2. Monitoring – where possible the system should have some form of monitoring capability to ensure the information provided is reliable – this is commonly known as integrity and may have performance targets depending on the system.
3. Some GNSS constellations offer authentication services which could be considered for implementation.
4. It may not be possible to remove the risk of system interference, whether natural or man-made. To mitigate such events, multiple position solutions should be employed, systems that are dissimilar in approach and failure modes as part of a system of systems approach.
5. GNSS signals usually originate from satellites, which should be well above the horizon. Antennas are available that attenuate signals that originate from lower than ~5 degrees above the horizon, that may assist in effective blocking of jamming or spoofing signals, that are mostly send from the ground.
6. Make use of the integrity warning services provided by Satellite Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS) and/or Ground Based Augmentation Systems (GBAS)
7. Make use of the provisions in AIS to mitigate jamming and/or spoofing.
8. Mariners should use physical AtoNs to check the reliability of PNT information received by radio.

ENG is working on a Guideline to support resilient PNT. This GL discusses a number of vulnerabilities and options to achieve resilient PNT, and while it mentions cyber security it is not captured in detail.

We need to be clear on whether cyber security includes aspects such as GNSS jamming (likened to a denial of service) and Spoofing (likened to data manipulation).

The technical advice provided in the reference documents should be considered and referenced where possible, but for PNT the following considerations are noted:

* PNT is used within AtoNs to support positioning and timing aspects. Both support the use of the AtoN, although in different context. As an example, within AIS, timing information from GNSS is used to synchronise the data channels, while GNSS derived positioning information is used to measure the vessel’s proximity to other targets. GNSS timing is also used to support synchronised lights and communications.
* PNT data can also be used in areas where it may not immediately be obvious. During GPS jamming trials conducted by the GLAs on a buoy tender, it was discovered by accident that the main GPS receiver feeding the bridge also provided time throughout the vessel. The resilient PNT demonstration for ACCSEAS project could be found on https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CNAr8eQQ\_9E

## PNT-specific documents to consider:

The following documents may need to be reviewed to ensure cyber security aspects are suitably considered.

* IALA Recommendation R1017 Resilient position navigation and timing (PNT)
* IALA Recommendation R0129 GNSS vulnerability and mitigation measures
* IALA Recommendation R1020 Terresterial radionavigation systems
* IALA Recommendation R1011 Performance and monitoring of eLORAN services in the frequency band 90-110 kHz
* IALA Recommendation R1011 Performance and monitoring of DGNSS services in the frequency band 283.5-325 kHz
* IALA Guideline G1112 Performance and monitoring of DGNSS services in the frequency band 283.5-325 kHz
* IALA Recommendation R0135 Future of DGNSS
* IALA Guideline G1060 Recapitalization of DGNSS
* IALA Recommendation R0150 DGNSS service provision, upgrades and future uses
* IALA Guideline G1158 VDES R-mode
* S-201 Aids to Navigation Information
* S-240 DGNSS Station Almanac
* S-245 eLoran ASF Data
* S-246 eLoran Station Almanac
* S-247 Differential eLoran Reference Station Almanac

## Potential Gaps:

* Training of personnel on ship and shore
* Review of impact to MASS as a special consideration (also drones where used)
* Human factors implications
* Authentication of the signal
* Environmental implications
* Infrastructure for monitoring / reporting / warning is being removed (IALA beacons)

**------ After here only placeholders for additional content ------**

# Further reading

Any texts that are recommended to the reader without direct reference in the text should be listed within this section using the same syntax as the reference list. Sources should be listed using the **Further reading** style.

1. Einstein, A. (1905) Relativity: The Special and General Theory of Relativity
2. Idle, E. (1984) The Galaxy Song
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1. As discussed during the IALA Cyber Security 2021 Workshop [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Segmentation is a term that is often used in Cyber Security and refers to the splitting (or even isolating) networks into smaller networks and implement inspection, such as a firewall or malware protection in between, allowing only the necessary network traffic between the networks. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. An interface is a communication channel between systems and may both be physical or logical, line a TCP port or rest API. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Data availability pertains to jamming of radio signals and communication. This may be accidental or deliberate and can happen with any type of wireless communication resulting in unavailability of the wireless signals or creation of many false signals. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Data integrity pertains to the manipulation of sensor data. Examples are false AIS messages (“spoofing”), unauthorised VHF voice messages and altered measurement data from hydrographic/meteorologic sensors. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)